

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/761,765		01/18/2001	Charles Anderson	3633-501	3633-501 5931	
20582	7590	07/29/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES DAY				PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
51 Louisiana Aveue, N.W WASHINGTON, DC 20001-2113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			1771		
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/761,765	ANDERSON ET AL.						
navicely near	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Andrew T Piziali	1771						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension								
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 26-35 and 38-49.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 36 and 37.								
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

~ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendments would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The applicant asserts that the amendment simply incorporates claim 35 into claim 26, but the examiner respectfully disagrees. Along with incorporating claim 35, the applicant also cancels zinc oxide, silicon nitride, and aluminum nitride from the Markush group of claim 26.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, applicant's arguments concerning Yoshihiro are not commensurate in scope with the current claims. Regarding applicant's assertion that Tatsuo describes a reflector, not an antireflection coating, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Tatsuo clearly discloses that the "heat rays reflecting film" (3) can improve reflection or prevent reflection (paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7 of the translation document).

ANDREW T. PIZIALI
PATENT EXAMINER

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700